Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao.—In civil sales good bids are the rule and a fair price is obtained. Revenue sales are different and every latitude should be allowed to owners of land, who are very often ignorant raiyats, widows and orphans, to save their lands.

Reply of Government.—There are difficulties on the other side also. The matter will be further examined.

SUBJECT NO. 61.

Facilities for payment of *kandayam.*

Mr. K. Rangasetti, Hole-Narsipur taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Persons living far away from their lands on account of their business sometimes make arrangements with their raiyats to pay up the revenue to Government, but these raiyats deceive them by not paying *kandayam*, and cause their property to be sold for arrears of revenue. By granting permission to land owners to pay the revenue of their lands in any taluk treasury they will be saved the fear of losing their property. It is prayed that an order may be issued to grant such permission.

Reply of Government.—Existing rules are sufficient.

SUBJECT NO. 62.

Receipts for payment of *kandayam.*

Messrs. P. Venkappaiya, Shimoga municipality, and B.Kesavaiya, Chikmagalur taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Under the existing practice, a receipt for payment of *kandayam* is given to the person paying it. As there is no other means of checking the entries in the account books as to amounts for which receipts are granted, there is some scope for fraud. The use of receipt form in triplicate will afford means of checking the accounts as copies of the receipt will be available with the parties, the patel and in the taluk office concerned.

Reply of Government.—Orders will be issued shortly.

SUBJECT NO. 63.

Revision of the village *pahani* register.

Mr. C. Channakesaviah, Krishi Silpabhi Vardhaka Samaj, Chitaldrug.

Summary of discussion.—Formerly it was the practice to write in the *pahani* register the name of the person in actual possession of a survey number whether he was the *pattadar* himself or his tenant or some other beneficiary owner and also the names of co-sharers. To some extent this practice was a proper safeguard of the rights of co-sharers and tenants as in many instances the *khatedar* was only a nominal personage. But since this practice was given up, it has given rise to numerous wrongful dispossessions of land by nominal *khatedars*. Parties are ruined by unnecessary and false litigation. It is requested therefore that speedy orders may be issued directing all shanbhogs to write the names of cultivators and of persons in actual possession of lands in a column to be opened in the *pahani*. This register should be given the same value by all courts as is being now done in the case of the *khirdi*.

Reply of Government.—Unnecessary as the register furnishes merely statistical information.